arXiv:1701.03286v1 [quant-ph] 12 Jan 2017

Double swept band selective excitation

Navin Khaneja *†

January 13, 2017

Abstract

The paper describes the design of band selective excitation and rotation pulses in high resolution NMR by method of double sweep. We first show the design of a pulse sequence that produces band selective excitation to the equator of Bloch sphere with phase linearly dispersed as frequency. We show how this linear dispersion can then be refocused by nesting free evolution between two adiabatic inversions (sweeps). We then show how this construction can be generalized to give a band selective x rotation over desired frequency band. Experimental excitation profiles for the residual HDO signal in a sample of 99.5% D_2O are obtained as a function of resonance offset.

1 Introduction

Frequency-selective pulses have widespread use in magnetic resonance and significant effort has been devoted towards their design [1]-[47]. Several experiments in

*To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email:navinkhaneja@gmail.com
†Department of Electrical Engineering, IIT Bombay, Powai - 400076, India.

high-resolution NMR and magnetic resonance imaging require radiofrequency pulses which excite NMR response over a prescribed frequency range with negligible effects elsewhere. Such band-selective pulses are particularly valuable when the excitation is uniform over desired bandwidth and of constant phase.

In this paper, we propose a new approach for design of uniform phase, band selective excitation and rotation pulses. In this approach, using Fourier series, a pulse sequence that produces band selective excitation to equator of Bloch sphere with phase linearly dispersed as frequency is designed. This linear dispersion is then refocused by nesting free evolution between two adiabatic inversions (sweeps). This construction is generalized to give a band selective x-rotation over desired bandwidth. We assume uncoupled spin $\frac{1}{2}$ and neglect relaxation.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we present the theory behind double swept **ba**nd**s**elective **e**xcitation, we call **BASE**. In section 3, we present simulation results and experimental data for band selective excitation and rotation pulses designed using double sweep technique. Finally, we conclude in section 4, with discussion and outlook.

2 Theory

We consider the problem of band selective excitation. Consider the evolution of spinor(We use I_α to denote the Pauli matrix such that $\alpha \in \{x, y, z\}$) of a spin $\frac{1}{2}$ in a rotating frame, rotating around z axis at Larmor frequency.

$$\frac{d|\psi\rangle}{dt} = -i(\omega I_z + A(t)\cos\theta(t) I_x + A(t)\sin\theta(t) I_y)|\psi\rangle, \tag{1}$$

where $A(t)$ and $\theta(t)$ are amplitude and phase of rf-pulse and we normalize the chemical shift in the range $\omega \in [-1, 1]$. Our goal is to design excitation over the bandwidth $[-B, B]$ where $B < 1$. Let $u(t) = A(t)\exp(-j\theta)$.

$$\frac{d|\psi\rangle}{dt} = -\frac{i}{2}\begin{bmatrix} \omega & u(t) \\ u^*(t) & -\omega \end{bmatrix}|\psi\rangle. \tag{2}$$

Going into interaction frame of chemical shift, we can write the evolution as

$$|\psi(T)\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \exp(\frac{-i\omega T}{2}) & 0 \\ 0 & \exp(\frac{i\omega T}{2}) \end{bmatrix} \exp(\oint_0^T \frac{-i}{2}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & u(t)\exp(i\omega t) \\ u^*(t)\exp(-i\omega t) & 0 \end{bmatrix})|\psi(0)\rangle. \tag{3}$$

We write,

$$\int_0^T u(t)\exp(i\omega t) = \exp(\frac{i\omega T}{2})\int_0^T u(t)\exp(i\omega(t-\frac{T}{2})). \tag{4}$$

We design $u(t)$ such that for all $\omega \in [-B, B]$ we have

$$\int_0^T u(t)\exp(i\omega(t-\frac{T}{2})) \sim \frac{\pi}{2}. \tag{5}$$

and zero elsewhere.

Divide $[0, T]$ in intervals of step, Δt, over which $u(t)$ is constant. Call them $\{u_{-M}, \ldots, u_{-k}, \ldots, u_0\}$ over $[0, \frac{T}{2}]$ and $\{u_0, \ldots, u_k, \ldots, u_M\}$ over $[\frac{T}{2}, T]$.

$$\int_0^T u(t)\exp(i\omega(t-\frac{T}{2})) \sim (u_0 + \sum_{k=-M}^{M} u_k \exp(-i\omega k\Delta t))\Delta t, \tag{6}$$

where write $\Delta t = \frac{\pi}{N}$ and choose u_k real with $u_k = u_{-k}$. Then we get

$$\int_0^T u(t)\exp(i\omega(t-\frac{T}{2})) \sim 2\sum_{k=0}^{M} u_k \cos(\omega k\Delta t)\Delta t = 2\sum_{k=0}^{M} u_k \cos(kx)\Delta t, \tag{7}$$

where for $x \in [-\frac{\pi B}{N}, \frac{\pi B}{N}]$, we have $2\sum_{k=0}^{M} u_k \cos(kx)\Delta t \sim \frac{\pi}{2}$ and 0 for x outside this range. This is a Fourier series, and we get the Fourier coefficients as,

$$u_0 = \frac{B}{4} \; ; \quad u_k = \frac{\sin(\frac{kB\pi}{N})}{\frac{2k\pi}{N}}. \tag{8}$$

Approximating, for $\omega \in [-B, B]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\exp(\oint_0^T \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{-iu(t)\exp(i\omega t)}{2} \\ \frac{-iu^*(t)\exp(-i\omega t)}{2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}) &\sim \exp(\frac{-i}{2}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \int_0^T u(t)\exp(i\omega t) \\ \int_0^T u^*(t)\exp(-i\omega t) & 0 \end{bmatrix}) \\
&\sim \exp(\frac{-i}{2}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \exp(\frac{i\omega T}{2})\frac{\pi}{2} \\ \exp(\frac{-i\omega T}{2})\frac{\pi}{2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}) \\
&= \exp(-i\frac{\pi}{2}(\cos\frac{\omega t}{2} I_x - \frac{\sin\omega t}{2} I_y)) \qquad (9) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -i\exp(\frac{i\omega T}{2}) \\ -i\exp(\frac{-i\omega T}{2}) & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \qquad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

Starting from the initial state $|\psi(0)\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, from Eq. 3, for $\omega \in [-B, B]$, we have

$$|\psi(T)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{bmatrix} \exp(\frac{-i\omega T}{2}) \\ -i \end{bmatrix}. \tag{11}$$

There is no excitation outside desired band.

This state is dephased on the Bloch sphere equator. We show how using a double adiabatic sweep, we can refocus the phase. Let $\Theta(\omega)$ be the rotation for an adiabatic inversion of a spin. We can use Euler angle decomposition to write,

$$\Theta(\omega) = \exp(-i\alpha(\omega)I_z)\exp(-i\pi I_x)\exp(-i\beta(\omega)I_z). \tag{12}$$

The center rotation should be π for $\Theta(\omega)$ to do inversion of $I_z \to -I_z$.

We can use this to refocus the forward free evolution. Observe

$$\Delta(\omega, \frac{T}{2}) = \exp(\frac{i\omega T}{2} I_z) = \Theta(\omega)\exp(\frac{-i\omega T}{2} I_z)\Theta(\omega). \tag{13}$$

Then for $\omega \in [-B, B]$,

$$\Theta(\omega)\ \exp(\frac{-i\omega T}{2} I_z)\ \Theta(\omega)\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \exp(\frac{-i\omega T}{2}) \\ -i \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\exp(\frac{-i\omega T}{4})}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -i \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (14)$$

which is a band selective excitation.

The pulse sequence consists of a sequence of x-phase pulses, which produce the evolution

$$U(\omega, \theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \exp(\frac{-i\omega T}{2}) & 0 \\ 0 & \exp(\frac{i\omega T}{2}) \end{bmatrix} \exp(\frac{-i}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \exp(\frac{i\omega T}{2})\theta \\ \exp(\frac{-i\omega T}{2})\theta & 0 \end{bmatrix}), \qquad (15)$$

where $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$, as described above. This required a peak amplitude of $u(t) \sim \frac{B}{2}$. This is followed by a double sweep rotation $\Delta(\omega, \frac{T}{2})$. Fig. 1A shows the pulse sequence for $B = \frac{1}{5}$. The sweep(chirp) is done with a peak amplitude of $\frac{1}{2}$, $T = 40\pi$.

We talked about band selective excitations. Now we discuss band selective $\frac{\pi}{2}$ rotations. This is simply obtained from above by an initial double sweep. Thus

$$U_1 = \Delta(\omega, \frac{T}{2})\ U(\omega, \frac{\pi}{2})\ \Delta(\omega, \frac{T}{2}), \qquad (16)$$

is a $\frac{\pi}{2}$ rotation around x axis. Fig. 1B shows the band selective rotation pulse sequence for $B = .2$. The chirp is done with a peak amplitude of $\frac{1}{2}$, $T = 40\pi$.

3 Simulations

We normalize ω in Eq. (1), to take values in the range $[-1, 1]$. We choose time $\frac{T}{2} = M\pi$, where we choose $M = 20$ and $N = 10$ in $\Delta t = \frac{\pi}{N}$ in Eq. (6). Choosing $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and coefficients u_k as in Eq. (8), we get the value of the Eq. (7) as a function of bandwidth as shown in left panel of Fig. 2 for $B = .2$. This is a decent approximation to $\frac{\pi}{2}$ over the desired bandwidth. The right panel of Fig. 2, shows the excitation profile i.e., the $-y$ coordinate of the Bloch vector after application of



Figure 1: Figure A. shows the **BASE** pulse sequence (amplitude) with a double sweep that performs band selective excitation as in Eq. (14) for $B = \frac{1}{5}$. Figure B. shows the **BASE** pulse sequence with two double sweeps that performs band selective rotation as in Eq. (16) for $B = \frac{1}{5}$.



Figure 2: Left panel shows value of the Eq. (7) as a function of bandwidth when we choose $T = 40\pi$ and $\Delta t = \frac{\pi}{10}$, $B = \frac{1}{5}$. The right panel shows the excitation profile i.e., the $-y$ coordinate of the Bloch vector after application of the pulse in Eq. (14), with u_k as in Eq. (8) and we assume that adiabatic inversion is ideal.

the pulse in Eq. (14), where we assume that adiabatic inversion is ideal. The peak rf-amplitude $A \sim \frac{B}{2}$ for $B = .2$.

Next, we implement the nonideal adiabatic sweep with a chirp pulse, by sweeping from $[-1.5, 1.5]$ in 150 units of time. This gives a sweep rate $\frac{1}{50} \ll A^2$, where $A = \frac{1}{2}$. The chirp pulse is a depicted in Fig. 1. The chirp operates at its peak amplitude over sweep from $[-1, 1]$. The resulting excitation profile of Eq. (14) is shown in Fig. 3 A, where we show the $-y$ coordinate of the Bloch vector. After scaling, $\omega \in [-20, 20]$ kHz, $B = 2$ kHz and $A = 10$ kHz, this pulse takes 3.89 ms. In Fig. 3 B, and 3 C, we have $B = 4$ kHz and $B = 8$ kHz respectively. The pulse time is same 3.89 ms. $T = 1$ ms in Fig. 1A.

Next, we simulate the band selective x rotation as in Eq. (16). This requires to perform double sweep twice as in Eq. (16). Adiabatic sweep is performed as before. The resulting excitation profile of Eq. (16) is shown in Fig. 4 A,B and C, where we show the z coordinate of the Bloch vector starting from initial $y = 1$ for $B = [-2, 2]$ kHz, $B = [-4, 4]$ kHz and $B = [-8, 8]$ kHz respectively. This pulse takes 6.77 ms in each case.

A



B



C



Figure 3: Fig. A, B, C shows the excitation profile (the $-y$ coordinate of Bloch vector) for the **BASE** pulse in Eq. (14) with $B = [-2, 2]$ kHz, $B = [-4, 4]$ kHz and $B = [-8, 8]$ kHz, respectively. The peak amplitude is $A = 10$ kHz. Time of the pulse is 3.89 ms. $T = 1$ ms in Fig. 1A.

A



B



C



Figure 4: Fig. A, B, C shows the the y to z rotation profile (the z coordinate of Bloch vector) for the band selective x rotation pulse in Eq. (16) with $B = [-2, 2]$ kHz, $B = [-4, 4]$ kHz and $B = [-8, 8]$ kHz, respectively. The peak amplitude is $A = 10$ kHz. Time of the pulse is 6.77 ms. $T = 1$ ms in Fig. 1B.

3.1 Experimental

All experiments were performed on a 750 MHz (proton frequency) NMR spectrometer at 298 K. Fig. 5 shows the experimental excitation profiles for the residual HDO signal in a sample of 99.5% D_2O displayed as a function of resonance offset. Fig. 5A, B, C shows the excitation profile of **BASE** sequence in Fig. 3 A, B, C respectively. The frequecy band of interest is $[-2, 2]$ kHz, $[-4, 4]$ kHz and $[-8, 8]$ kHz respectively. In each case, the peak amplitude of the rf-field is 10 kHz and duration of the pulse is 3.89 ms. The pulse sequence uses one double sweep. $T = 1$ ms in Fig. 1A. The offset is varied over a range of [-20, 20] kHz with on-resonance at 3.53 kHz (4.71 ppm).

4 Conclusion

In this paper we showed design of band selective excitation and rotation pulses (**BASE**). We first showed how by use of Fourier series, we can design a pulse that does band selective excitation to the equator of Bloch sphere. The phase of excitation is linearly dispersed as function of offset, which is refocused by nesting free evolution between adiabatic inversion pulses. We then extended the method to produce band selective rotations. The pulse duration of the pulse sequences is largely limited by time of adiabatic sweeps. This increases, if we have larger working bandwidth. However, we can invert only the band of interest. Thereby, we may be able to reduce the time of the proposed pulse sequences. The principle merit of the proposed pulse sequences is the analytical tractability and conceptual simplicity of the design.

5 Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank the HFNMR lab facility at IIT Bombay, funded by RIFC, IRCC, where the data was collected.



Figure 5: Fig. A, B, C show the experimental excitation profile of **BASE** sequences in Fig. 3A,B and C, respectively, with $B = [-2, 2]$ kHz, $B = [-4, 4]$ kHz and $B = [-8, 8]$ kHz, respectively, in a sample of 99.5% D_2O. The offset is varied over the range as shown and the peak rf power of all pulses is 10 kHz. The duration of the pulses is 3.89 ms.

References

[1] B. L. Tomlinson and H. D. W. Hill, Fourier synthesized excitation of nuclear magnetic resonance with application to homonuclear decoupling and solvent line suppression, J. Chem. Phys. 59, (1973) 1775-1785.

[2] G. Bodenhausen, R. Freeman G. A. Morris, A simple pulse sequence for selective excitation in Fourier transform NMR, J. Magn. Reson. 23 (1976) 171-175.

[3] G. A. Morris and R. freeman, Selective excitation in Fourier transform nuclear magnetic resonance, J. Magn. Reson. 29 (1978) 433-462.

[4] D. I. Hoult, The solution of the Bloch equations in the presence of a varying B1 field: An approach to selective pulse analysis, J. Magn. Reson. 35 (1979) 68-86.

[5] L. Emsley, G. Bodenhausen, Self-refocussing effect of 270 Gaussian pulses. Applications to selective two-dimensional exchange spectroscopy, J. Magn. Resonance. 82 (1989) 211-221.

[6] P. Caravatti, G. Bodenhausen, R. R. Ernst, Selective pulse experiments in high resolution solid state NMR, J. Magn. Reson. 55 (1983) 88-103.

[7] C. Bauer, R. Freeman, T. Frenkiel, J. Keeler, A.J. Shaka, Gaussian pulses, J. Magn. Reson. 58 (1984) 442-457.

[8] M.S. Silver, R.I. Joseph, D.I. Hoult, Highly selective $\frac{\pi}{2}$ and πpulse generation. J. Magn. Reson. 59 (1984) 347-351.

[9] J. Friedrich, S. Davies, R. Freeman, Shaped selective pulses for coherence-transfer experiments, J. magn. Reson. 75 (1987) 390-395.

[10] C.J. Hardy, P.A. Bottomley, M. ODonnell, and P. Roemer, Optimization of two dimensional spatially selective NMR pulses by simulated annealing, J. Magn. Reson. 77 (1988) 223-250.

[11] F. Loaiza, M. A. McCoy, S. L. Hammes, W. S. Warren, Selective excitation without phase distortion using self-refocused amplitude- and amplitude/phase-modulated pulses, J. Magn. Reson. 77 (1988) 175-181.

[12] P. Tekely, J. Brondeau, K. Elbayed, A. Retournard and, D. Canet, A simple pulse train, using 90 hard pulses, for selective excitation in high-resolution solid-state NMR, J. Magn. Reson. 80 (1988) 509-516.

[13] X.-L. Wu, R. Freeman, Darwins ideas applied to mag- netic resonance. The marriage broker, J. Magn. Reson. 85 (1989) 414-420.

[14] H. Geen, S. Wimperis, R. Freeman, Band-selective pulses without phase distortion. A simulated annealing approach, J. Magn. Reson. 85 (1989) 620-627.

[15] L. Emsley and G. Bodenhausen, Self-refocusing 270 gaussian pulses for slice selection without gradient reversal in magnetic resonance imaging, Magn, Reson.Med. 10 (1989) 273-281.

[16] A.E. Yagle, Inversion of the Bloch transform in magnetic resonance imaging using asymmetric two- component inverse scattering, Inverse Problem 6 (1990) 133-151.

[17] M. Shinnar, L. Bolinger, J. S. Leigh, The synthesis of pulse sequences yielding arbitrary magnetization vectors, Mag. Reson. Med. 12 (1989) 74-80.

[18] M. Shinnar, L. Bolinger, J. S. Leigh, The use of finite impulse response filters in pulse design, Mag. Reson. Med. 12 (1989) 81-87.

[19] M. Shinnar, J. S. Leigh, The application of spinors to pulse synthesis and analysis, Mag. Reson. Med. 12 (1989) 93-98.

[20] J. Pauly, P. Le Roux, D. Nishimur, A. Macovski, Parameter relations for the Shinnar-Le Roux selective excitation pulse design algorithm, IEEE Trans. Med. Imag. 10 (1991) 53-65.

[21] J.W.Carlson, Exact solution for selective-excitation pulses. J. Magn. Reson. 94 (1991) 376-386.

[22] H. Geen and R. Freeman, Band-selective radiofrequency pulses. J. Magn. Reson. 93 (1991) 93-141.

[23] R. Freeman, Selective excitation in high-resolution NMR, Chem. Rev. 91-7 (1991) 1397-1412.

[24] R. Freeman, High resolution NMR using selective excitation, J. Mol. Struct, 266 (1992) 39-51.

[25] J.W.Carlson, Exact solution for selective-excitation pulses. II Excitation pulses with phase control. J. Magn. Reson.97 (1992) 65-78.

[26] D.E.Rourke and P.G.Morris, The inverse scattering and its use in the exact inversion of the Bloch equation for noninteracting spins. J. Magn. Reson. 99 (1992) 118-138.

[27] L. Emsley, G. Bodenhausen, Optimization of shaped selective pulses for NMR using a quaternion description of their overall propagators, J. Magn. Reson. 97 (1992) 135-148.

[28] E. Kupce, R.Freeman, Polychromatic selective pulses, J. Magn. Reson. Ser.A 102 (1993) 122-126.

[29] D.E. Rourke, M.J.W. Prior, P.G. Morris, J.A.B. Lohman, Stereographic projection method of exactly calculating selective pulses, J. Magn. Reson. A 107 (1994) 203-214.

[30] L. Emsley, Selective pulses and their applications to as- signment and structure determination in nuclear magnetic resonance, Methods Enzymol. 239 (1994) 207-246.

[31] E. Kupce, R. Freeman, Band-Selective Correlation Spectroscopy, J. Magn. Reson. A 112 (1995) 134-137.

[32] E. Kupce, J. Boyd, I.D. Campbell, Short selective pulses for biochemical applications, J. Magn. Reson. Series B 106 (1995) 300-303.

[33] S. Caldarelli, A. Lesage, L. Emsley, Pure-phase selective excitation in NMR by acquisition during the pulse, J.Magn. Reson. A 116 (1995) 129-132.

[34] C. Dalvit, S. Y. Ko, J.-M. Böhlen, Single and multiple- selective excitation combined with pulsed field gradients, J. Magn. Reson. B 10 (1996) 124-131.

[35] P. Borgnat, A. Lesage, S. Caldarelli, L. Emsley, Narrow- band linear selective pulses for NMR, J. Magn. Reson.A 119 (1996) 289-294.

[36] E. Lunati, P. Cofrancesco, M. Villa, P. Marzola, F. Osculati, Evolution Strategy Optimization for Selective Pulses in NMR, J. Magn. Reson. 134 (1998) 223-235.

[37] T. Parella, F. Snchez-Ferrando, A. Virgili, A simple ap- proach for ultraclean multisite selective excitation using excitation sculpting, J. Magn. Reson. 135 (1998) 50-53.

[38] Ray Freeman, Shaped radiofrequency pulses in high resolution NMR, Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc. 32, (1998) 59-106.

[39] P. Xu, X.-L. Wu, R. Freeman, User-friendly selective pulses, J. Magn. Reson. 99 (1992) 308-322.

[40] M. A. McCoy, L. Mueller, Nonresonant effects of frequency-selective pulses, J. Magn. Reson. 99 (1992) 18-36.

[41] C. Roumestand,C. Delay, J. A. Gavid, D. Canet, A practical approach to the implementation of selectivity in homonuclear multidimensional NMR with frequency selective-filtering techniques. Application to the chem- ical structure elucidation of complex oligosaccharides (pages 451478), Magn. Reson. Chem. 37 (1999) 451-478.

[42] C. Roumestand, D. Canet, Extending the excitation sculpting concept for selective excitation, J. Magn. Re- son. 147 (2000) 331-339.

[43] K. Zangger, M. Oberer, H. Sterk, Pure-phase selective excitation in fast-relaxing systems, J. Magn. Reson. 152 (2001) 48-56.

[44] P. Charmont, D. Sakellariou, L. Emsley, Sample restric- tion using radiofrequency field selective pulses in highresolution solid-state NMR, J. Magn. Reson. 154 (2002) 136-141.

[45] K. E. Cano, M. A. Smith, A. J. Shaka, Adjustable, broadband, selective excitation with uniform phase, J. Magn. Reson. 155 (2002) 131-139.

[46] M. Veshtort, R. Griffin, High-performance selective ex- citation pulses for solid- and liquid-state NMR spectroscopy, Chem. Phys. Chem. 5 (2004) 834-850.

[47] T. E. Skinner, N. I. Gershenzon, M. Nimbalkar, S. J. Glaser, Optimal control design of band selective excitation pulses that accommodate relaxation and RF inhomogeneity, J. Magn. reson. 217 (2012) 53-60.